

Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 144 (1999) 389-395



www.elsevier.com/locate/molcata

# Catalytic water oxidation using chemically generated $Ru(bpy)_3^{3+}$ oxidant

Toshiyuki Abe, Yukari Tamada, Hidenobu Shiroishi, Mieko Nukaga, Masao Kaneko \*,1

Faculty of Science, Ibaraki University, Mito, Ibaraki 310-8512, Japan

Received 18 July 1998; accepted 29 October 1998

#### Abstract

Catalytic water oxidation to evolve  $O_2$  was studied using chemically generated  $Ru(bpy)_3^{3+}$  (bpy; 2,2'-bipyridine) oxidant.  $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$  was oxidized to  $Ru(bpy)_3^{3+}$  by suspension of PbO<sub>2</sub> powders. In the catalytic water oxidation system composed of the  $Ru(bpy)_3^{3+}$  and  $RuO_2$  adsorbing Ru-red ([(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>Ru–O–Ru(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>–O–Ru(NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>]Cl<sub>6</sub>), much higher amount of  $O_2$  evolved than in a homogeneous  $Ru(bpy)_3^{3+}/Ru$ -red system. This was ascribed to the suppression of the bimolecular decomposition of Ru-red in the  $RuO_2$  matrix. The amount of the  $O_2$  evolved increased with the adsorbed amount of the Ru-red into  $RuO_2$ , whereas higher amount of the adsorbed Ru-red resulted in the decrease of the  $O_2$  evolution, which was ascribed to bimolecular decomposition of Ru-red in the  $RuO_2$  at higher concentrations. The activity of Ru-red in the  $RuO_2$  matrix was analyzed considering a static distance distribution between the nearest-neighboring molecules. The decomposition distance ( $r_d$ ) was obtained as 1.25 nm showing that contacting Ru-red molecules undergo bimolecular decomposition without catalysis. © 1999 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Ru-red; Ru(bpy) $_{3}^{3+}$ ; Water oxidation

# 1. Introduction

Constructing an artificial photosynthetic system such as a water photolysis to obtain  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  is of importance to develop a new energy resource instead of the fossil fuels. Tris(2,2'-bi-pyridine) ruthenium (Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup>) is one of the most well-known photocatalysts capable of theoretical water splitting into  $O_2$  and  $H_2$ . How-

ever, there has been so far no example of water splitting by using  $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$  as a photosensitizer. As an approach to this goal, photochemical water oxidation composed of  $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$  and sensitizer has been studied in the presence of electron acceptor (Fe<sup>3+</sup>, S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub><sup>2-</sup>, Co<sup>3+</sup> complex etc.) and water oxidation catalyst (RuO<sub>2</sub>, etc.) [1–3], which can be regarded as a Photosystem II model. Although water oxidation is an important reaction to provide electrons to an artificial photosynthetic system, the number of reports on water oxidation has not been many in comparison with a photochemical H<sup>+</sup> reduction. Since  $Ru(bpy)_3^{3+}$  is a 1-electron oxidant for water oxidation, it is impossible to oxidize water to

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +81-29-2288374; Fax: +81-29-2288374; E-mail: kanekom@mito.ipc.ibaraki.ac.jp

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Visiting senior researcher of The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN), 2-1 Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-0198, Japan.

evolve  $O_2$  (4-electron process) without catalyst. In order to establish an efficient water oxidation site, it is necessary to combine the  $Ru(bpy)_{2}^{3+}$ with an active water oxidation catalyst capable of 4-electron oxidation. The present authors have found active molecule-based catalysts for water oxidation [4–10]. Trinuclear Ru complex called Ru-red  $([(NH_3)_5Ru-O-Ru(NH_3)_4-O-Ru(N-Ru(N-Ru)_3)_4)$  $H_{3}_{5}$  Cl<sub>6</sub>) was found to catalyze water oxidation in the presence of Ce(IV) oxidant [7–10] showing much higher activity than the conventional catalyst such as  $RuO_2$ . However, it has been difficult to realize water oxidation by Rured catalyst with  $Ru(bpy)_{2}^{3+}$  oxidant. Now we have found that if the Ru-red is used in combination with RuO<sub>2</sub>, it shows high activity in water oxidation, and the results will be reported.

# 2. Experimental

# 2.1. Material and catalyst preparation

Both tris(2,2'-bypyridine) ruthenium(II) perchlorate (Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup>) [11] and  $\mu$ -oxo-bis[aquabis(2,2'-bipyridine)ruthenium] (Ru–O–Ru, [(bpy)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)Ru–O–Ru(bpy)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)]<sup>6+</sup>) [12] were synthesized according to previous procedures. PbO<sub>2</sub>, RuO<sub>2</sub> and Ru-red were purchased from Kanto Chemical, Aldrich Chemical and Wako, respectively.

 $RuO_2$  adsorbing one of the molecule-based catalysts was prepared in an aqueous solution of the complex containing suspended  $RuO_2$  by sonication for 6 h. The adsorbed amount of the complex into  $RuO_2$  was estimated from the visible absorption spectral change of the complex solution before and after the adsorption. The catalyst obtained is denoted as, e.g.,  $RuO_2[Ru-red]$ . It was confirmed that no desorption of Ru-red from the  $RuO_2$  matrix takes place if once Ru-red was adsorbed into  $RuO_2$ matrix. The adsorbed Ru-red did not go out of the  $RuO_2$  throughout the catalytic water oxidation.

### 2.2. Water oxidation

In a catalytic water oxidation system, excess of PbO<sub>2</sub> powders were added to a pH 2.15 aqueous solution (reaction volume, 10 ml; pH 2.15, HNO–KNO<sub>3</sub> buffer) containing the known amounts of Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup> and catalyst (e.g., RuO<sub>2</sub>[Ru-red]). All the water oxidation reactions were run under argon atmosphere. The O<sub>2</sub> produced was analyzed by a gas chromatograph (Hitachi, 165) with a molecular sieve 5 Å column and argon carrier gas. The net amount of O<sub>2</sub> evolved was obtained by subtracting a blank amount of O<sub>2</sub> coming from air. In the present study the experimental errors were  $\pm 10\%$ .

## 3. Results and discussion

It has been reported previously that stability of Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>3+</sup> depended on the pH conditions [3]. At pH > 5, nucleophilic substitution of the bpy ligand by hydroxide ion was assumed to take place competitively with the O<sub>2</sub> evolution. Therefore, catalytic water oxidation with Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>3+</sup> oxidant was carried out in a pH 2.15 aqueous solution in the present study.

Formal potentials of  $Pb^{4+}(PbO_2)/Pb^{2+}$  and  $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{3+/2+}$  couples are present at +1.46 V [13] and +1.27 V (vs. NHE) [14], respectively, so that PbO<sub>2</sub> can work as an oxidant to generate  $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{3+}$  from  $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$ . Fig. 1 shows the typical absorption spectra of a  $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$  aqueous solution before and after the addition of PbO<sub>2</sub> oxidant. When PbO<sub>2</sub> oxidant of 0.24 g (0.1 M/10 ml) was added into a 0.1 mM/10ml Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup> solution, the absorption peak of  $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3^{2+}$  at 454 nm ( $\varepsilon = 1.46 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}$  $cm^{-1}$  [15] almost disappeared and a new peak appeared at 420 nm ( $\varepsilon = 3.3 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) [16] due to the formation of Ru(bpy)<sup>3+</sup><sub>3</sub>. In the present condition (the molar ratio of  $[PbO_2]/[Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}] > 1000)$ , almost all the  $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+}$  were converted to  $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{3+}$ .

Catalytic water oxidation was carried out in the presence of  $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ ,  $RuO_2$  as a water



Fig. 1. Visible absorption spectra of a  $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$  solution before (solid line) and after (dashed line) adding PbO<sub>2</sub> oxidant. [Ru(bpy)\_3^{2+}], 0.1 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup>; [PbO<sub>2</sub>], 0.1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>; pH 2.15 (HCl–KNO<sub>3</sub> buffer).

oxidation catalyst and PbO2 oxidant to generate  $Ru(bpy)_{3}^{3+}$  (see Scheme 1). The present authors have reported a catalytic water oxidation by molecule-based catalysts with Ce(IV) oxidant. Although the redox potential of Ce(IV)/Ce(III) couple (+1.71 V vs. NHE) [13] is more positive than that of  $Pb^{4+}/Pb^{2+}$ ,  $Ru(bpy)_{2}^{2+}$  cannot be oxidized by Ce(IV) oxidant as reported previously [17]. Therefore, PbO<sub>2</sub> instead of Ce(IV) oxidant was applied in the present work. Fig. 2 shows the relationship between the apparent rate of the  $O_2$  evolution ( $V_{O_2}$  mol s<sup>-1</sup>) and the added PbO<sub>2</sub> amount. In a blank experiment without  $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$ , no O<sub>2</sub> evolution took place. In addition, it was confirmed that PbO<sub>2</sub> itself cannot oxidize water to evolve  $O_2$ . The  $V_{O_2}$ increased with the added amount of PbO<sub>2</sub>, and



then was saturated beyond  $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$  mol (0.24 g) of the PbO<sub>2</sub> amount (molar ratio, [PbO<sub>2</sub>]/[Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup>] > 1000). The present catalytic water oxidation consists of three reaction processes as follows.

$$2PbO_{2} + 4Ru(bpy)_{3}^{2+} \rightarrow 2Pb^{2+} + 4Ru(bpy)_{3}^{3+}$$
(1)

$$4\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_{3}^{3^{+}} + (\text{cat})_{\text{red}} \rightarrow 4\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_{3}^{2^{+}} + (\text{cat})_{\text{ox}}$$
(2)

$$(\operatorname{cat})_{\operatorname{ox}} + 2\operatorname{H}_{2}\operatorname{O} \xrightarrow{k_{\operatorname{cat}}} (\operatorname{cat})_{\operatorname{red}} + \operatorname{O}_{2} + 4\operatorname{H}^{+}$$
 (3)

where (cat)<sub>red</sub> and (cat)<sub>ox</sub> are the reduced species of the catalyst and the oxidized ones, respectively. In the excess water conditions the catalytic water oxidation is represented by the pseudo first-order rate with respect to the catalyst concentration (Eq. (3)), where the rate constant is  $k_{cat}(s^{-1})$ . When large excess of PbO<sub>2</sub> more than 0.24 g (PbO<sub>2</sub> concentration higher than 0.1 M and [PbO<sub>2</sub>]/[Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>3+</sup>] > 1000, see Fig. 2) was used,  $V_{O_2}$  was independent of the PbO<sub>2</sub> amount because excess and steady concentration of Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>3+</sup> was present during the water oxidation catalysis. This indicates that the formation of Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>3+</sup> by PbO<sub>2</sub> oxidant is



Fig. 2. Relationship between the rate of  $O_2$  evolution and the amount of PbO<sub>2</sub>, oxidizing reagent of Ru(bpy)<sup>2+</sup><sub>3</sub> in the catalytic water oxidation. [RuO<sub>2</sub>], 0.1 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup>; [Ru(bpy)<sup>2+</sup><sub>3</sub>], 0.1 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup>; pH 2.15 (HNO<sub>3</sub>-KNO<sub>3</sub> buffer); reaction time, 1 h.

not rate-determining step (Eq. (1)). It was confirmed that when the concentration of PbO<sub>2</sub> and  $Ru(bpy)_3^{2+}$  are fixed, the rate of O<sub>2</sub> evolution is proportional to the catalyst (RuO<sub>2</sub>) concentration, so that it is given by Eq. (4).

$$d[O_2]/dt = (V_{O_2}/v)$$
  
=  $k_{cat}[cat]_T$  (4)

where v (dm<sup>3</sup>) is the reaction volume and [cat]<sub>T</sub> is the total concentration of the catalyst. The following study for the catalytic water oxidation was carried out under the conditions of [PbO<sub>2</sub>]/[Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup>] = 1000 where the Eq. (4) is applicable.

Catalytic water oxidation according to Scheme 1 was studied using various kinds of catalyst to oxidize water. Typical data are shown in Table 1. Almost no O<sub>2</sub> production took place when the molecule-based catalysts such as Ru-O-Ru and Ru-red instead of RuO2 were employed as a homogeneous catalyst. It has been reported by Gilbert et al. [12] that Ru-O-Ru  $((H_2O)(bpy)_2Ru-O-Ru(bpy)_2(H_2O)^{6+})$  shows an activity for water oxidation. The present authors have also studied the catalytic water oxidation by a homogeneous Ru-O-Ru catalyst with Ce(IV) oxidant, and confirmed that the catalytic activity of Ru-O-Ru is much smaller than that of Ru-red (the turnover number (TN) of molecular catalyst to oxidize water: Ru-O-Ru, ~4 h<sup>-1</sup>; Ru-red, 50 h<sup>-1</sup>) [18]. We concluded that the low activity of Ru-O-Ru is due

to the decomposition via high oxidation state of Ru–O–Ru into the monomeric complex. However, it was found (Table 1) that adsorption of the molecule-based catalyst into RuO<sub>2</sub> results in a drastic enhancement of the catalytic activity much more than a merely additive effect. When the TN of the molecule-based catalyst adsorbed into RuO<sub>2</sub> matrix was estimated by considering the net O<sub>2</sub> evolution via the adsorbed complex after subtracting the O<sub>2</sub> evolution from the RuO<sub>2</sub> blank (run 3), the TN of the adsorbed molecular catalyst is  $2 \sim 3$  order of magnitude higher than that of the RuO<sub>2</sub> catalyst.

In order to understand the catalysis by the Ru-red adsorbed into RuO<sub>2</sub> matrix(RuO<sub>2</sub>[Rured)), the catalytic water oxidation was studied with respect to the adsorbed amount of the Ru-red into RuO<sub>2</sub> (the employed amount of  $RuO_2$  is constant), and the result is shown in Fig. 3. The amount of  $O_2$  evolved increased with the adsorbed amount of Ru-red when its amount is low, whereas on increasing the adsorbed amount of Ru-red O<sub>2</sub> production was suppressed after passing an optimum point. The present authors have reported recently the catalytic water oxidation with Ce(IV) oxidant and heterogeneous catalyst systems where Ru-red is incorporated into a solid matrix [7]. The catalytic water oxidation by the Ru-red takes place monomolecularly, but a bimolecular decomposition occurs competitively with the water oxidation. In a homogeneous catalyst system by the Ru-red with Ce(IV) oxidant, bimolecular de-

Table 1 Typical data of catalytic water oxidation by catalyst/ $Ru(bpv)_3^{2+}/PbO_2$  system<sup>a,b</sup>

Run	Catalyst	$O_2$ produced (µl)	Turnover number (TN) $(h^{-1})$	
1	Ru–O–Ru ( $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ mol) (homogeneous system)	~ 0	~ 0	
2	Ru-red ( $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ mol) (homogeneous system)	4.2	0.17	
3	$RuO_2$ (1.0 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> mol)	35.5	1.5	
4	$RuO_{2}$ (1.0 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> mol) [Ru–O–Ru] (8.3 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> mol) <sup>c</sup>	42.9	365 <sup>d</sup>	
5	$RuO_2$ (1.0 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> mol) [Ru-red (8.3 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> mol)] <sup>c</sup>	66.9	1550 <sup>d</sup>	

<sup>a</sup>Reaction time, 1 h; reaction volume, 10 ml.

 ${}^{b}$ [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup>], 0.1 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup>; PbO<sub>2</sub>, 0.24 g (corresponding to 0.1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>).

<sup>c</sup>The molecule-based catalyst was adsorbed on RuO<sub>2</sub> particle.

<sup>d</sup> The net amount of  $O_2$  evolved coming from the molecule-based catalyst in both runs 4 and 5 was calculated by subtracting a blank  $O_2$  evolved by RuO<sub>2</sub> (run 3), and the turnover number was estimated based on the adsorbed amount of the complex on RuO<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. 3. Dependence of the  $O_2$  evolution on the adsorbed amount of Ru-red into RuO<sub>2</sub>. RuO<sub>2</sub> amount was constant in each run, and only the adsorbed amount of Ru-red onto RuO<sub>2</sub> was varied. [PbO<sub>2</sub>], 0.1 mol dm<sup>-3</sup>/10 ml; [RuO<sub>2</sub>], 0.1 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup>/10 ml; [Ru(bpy)<sub>3</sub><sup>2+</sup>], 0.1 mmol dm<sup>-3</sup>/10 ml; pH 2.15 (HNO<sub>3</sub>-KNO<sub>3</sub> buffer); reaction time, 1 h.

composition was observed remarkably even in a dilute concentration below 1 mM. However, it was found that the fixation of the catalyst into a solid matrix results in the suppression of the catalyst decomposition, and that the catalytic activity of the Ru-red is maintained under highly concentrated condition in the matrix. The enhanced activity of  $RuO_2[Ru-O-Ru]$  in Table 1 could also be the same as mentioned above. The present catalysis by  $RuO_2[Ru-complex]$  as shown in Table 1 as well as in Fig. 3 is similar to our previous results.

Although the fixation of the Ru-red into  $RuO_2$ matrix results in much higher activity than a homogeneous Ru-red system, the decomposition of the Ru-red would also takes place as shown by the decrease of the evolved  $O_2$  in increasing the adsorbed amount of Ru-red. Such bimolecular decomposition of Ru-red in its higher concentrations in the RuO<sub>2</sub> is dependent on the molecular distance. The activity analysis of the Ru-red adsorbed into RuO<sub>2</sub> matrix was carried out based on a random distribution of the adsorbed molecules [19,20]. We assume now that the Ru-red is adsorbed in the void space between the RuO<sub>2</sub> particles. In a void space adsorption model, the probability of the distance distribution between the nearest-neighboring molecules is given by

$$P(r) = 4\pi r^2 N_A c \times 10^{-24} \\ \times \exp[-4\pi (r^3 - s^3) \\ \times N_A c \times 10^{-24} / 3]$$
(5)

where P(r) (nm<sup>-1</sup>), r (nm),  $N_{\rm A}$  (mol<sup>-1</sup>), c (mol  $dm^{-3}$ ). s (nm) are a probability density, the centre-to-centre distance between the nearest-neighboring molecules, Avogadro's constant, Ru-red concentration in the void space of RuO<sub>2</sub>, and contact distance between the Ru-red molecules (the s value was calculated as 1.06 nm according to our previous report [4.5.7.9]). respectively. The c value was calculated by assuming the close-packed structure of the  $RuO_2$ porous particles. The volume ratio of the void space in the RuO<sub>2</sub> particles is 25.9% for the close-packed structure. Considering the molecular weight of RuO<sub>2</sub> ( $M = 133.07 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ ), density  $(6.97 \times 10^{-3} \text{ g dm}^{-3})$  [21] and the volume ratio of the void space, the void volume of RuO<sub>2</sub> was obtained as  $4.9 \times 10^{-3}$  dm<sup>3</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>. According to Eq. (5), the relationship of P(r)with the intermolecular distance between the nearest-neighboring molecules is depicted as Fig. 4.



Fig. 4. Static distance distribution between the nearest-neighboring Ru-red in  $RuO_2$  void space as a function of the Ru-red concentration in the  $RuO_2$  void space.



Fig. 5. Relationship between the turnover number (TN) of a Ru-red to oxidize water and the Ru-red concentration in  $\text{RuO}_2$  void space. Solid line shows the best fitting curve based on Eq. (7).

It is assumed that the catalyst molecules located within the decomposition distance  $(r_d)$ undergo a bimolecular decomposition without oxidizing water. The fraction  $(R_{dec})$  that the molecules are present within  $r_d$  is given by Eq. (6).

$$R_{\rm dec} = \int_{S}^{r_{\rm d}} P(r) \, \mathrm{d}r = 1 - \exp\left[-4\pi \left(r_{\rm d}^{3} - s^{3}\right) \right.$$
$$\times N_{\rm A} \, c \times 10^{-24} \, / 3\right] \qquad (6)$$

The fraction of  $(1 - R_{dec})$  corresponds to the ratio of the effective catalyst. Since the turnover number (TN) of a Ru-red to evolve O<sub>2</sub> obtained from Fig. 3 should be proportional to the fraction of  $(1 - R_{dec})$ , Eq. (7) is derived,

$$TN = k_{int}(1 - R_{dec})$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

where  $k_{int}$  represents an intrinsic activity of Ru-red on RuO<sub>2</sub>. Fig. 5 obtained from Fig. 3 shows the TN of a Ru-red to oxidize water against the Ru-red concentration in the RuO<sub>2</sub> matrix. Eq. (7) was applied to the plots of Fig. 5 by using a non-linear least-squares method, and the best fitting values of  $k_{int}$  and  $r_d$  were obtained as  $2.0 \times 10^3$  h<sup>-1</sup> and 1.25 nm, respectively. The size of Ru-red is approximated as a cylinder with 0.75 nm diameter and 1.40 nm

length, indicating that almost contacted Ru-red molecules undergo bimolecular decomposition without working as a catalyst. The  $k_{int}$  (2.0 × 10<sup>3</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) is by one order of magnitude higher than the intrinsic rate constant in an aqueous solution (1.8 × 10<sup>2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) [7]. The present analysis is not influenced by the effective area of the matrix. A similar result will be obtained even if the analysis is carried out with the surface adsorption model considering an effective area of the matrix. Validity of the analysis with the void space model have been shown in our earlier report [9].

#### Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Grant-in-Aid (No. 475/10650862) from Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture. T.A. has been granted JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

### References

- M.N. Spallart, K. Kalyanasundaram, C. Grätzel, M. Grätzel, Helv. Chim. Acta 63 (1980) 1111.
- [2] A. Harriman, G. Porter, O. Waters, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 2 77 (1981) 2373.
- [3] P.A. Christensen, W. Erbs, A. Harriman, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 2 81 (1985) 575.
- [4] M. Yagi, K. Kinoshita, M. Kaneko, J. Phys. Chem. B 101 (1997) 3957.
- [5] M. Yagi, K. Kinoshita, M. Kaneko, J. Phys. Chem. 100 (1996) 11098.
- [6] M. Yagi, I. Ogino, A. Miura, Y. Kurimura, M. Kaneko, Chem. Lett. (1995) 863.
- [7] M. Yagi, S. Tokita, K. Nagoshi, I. Ogino, M. Kaneko, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 92 (13) (1996) 2457.
- [8] M. Yagi, K. Nagoshi, M. Kaneko, J. Phys. Chem. B 101 (1997) 5143.
- [9] I. Ogino, K. Nagoshi, M. Yagi, M. Kaneko, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 92 (18) (1996) 3431.
- [10] R. Ramaraj, A. Kira, M. Kaneko, J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1 83 (1987) 1539.
- [11] M. Kaneko, A. Yamada, J. Photochem. Photobiol. 33 (1981) 793.
- [12] J.A. Gilbert, D.D. Eggleston, W.R. Murphy Jr., D.A. Geselowitz, S.W. Gersten, D.T. Hodgson, T.J. Meyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107 (1985) 3855.

- [13] M. Pourbaix, Atlas of Electrochemical Equilibria in Aqueous Solutions, Pergamon, 1966.
- [14] N.P. Luneva, V.Ya. Shafirovich, A.E. Shilov, J. Mol. Catal. 52 (1989) 49.
- [15] A. Juris, V. Balzani, F. Barigelletti, S. Campagna, P. Belser, A.V. Zelewsky, Coord. Chem. Rev. 84 (1988) 85.
- [16] Q.G. Mulazzani, S. Emmi, P.G. Fuochi, M.Z. Hoffman, M.J. Venturi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 100 (1978) 981.
- [17] M. Kaneko, N. Awaya, A. Yamada, Chem. Lett. (1982) 619.
- [18] R. Ramaraj, A. Kira, M. Kaneko, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 25 (1986) 1009.
- [19] R.J. Lin, T. Onikubo, K. Nagai, M. Kaneko, J. Electroanal. Chem. 348 (1993) 189.
- [20] K. Nagai, N. Takamiya, M. Kaneko, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A: Chem. 92 (1995) 47.
- [21] J.A. Dean (Ed.), Lange's Handbook of Chemistry, 12th edn., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1979, pp. 4–102.